

AGENDA
NEWPORT BAY WATERSHED EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Regular Meeting

August 18, 2010
1:30 - 3:30 p.m.

Irvine Ranch Water District
15600 Sand Canyon Avenue
Irvine, CA 92618-3102

John M. W. Moorlach, Chair
County of Orange

Peer Swan, Vice Chair
Irvine Ranch Water District

Kathryn McCullough
City of Lake Forest

Claudia Alvarez
City of Santa Ana

John Nielsen
City of Tustin

Fred Ameri
Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control
Board

Ed Pert
California Department of Fish and Game

Nancy Gardner
City of Newport Beach

Christina Shea
City of Irvine

Wendy Leece
City of Costa Mesa

Sat Tamaribuchi
The Irvine Company

http://www.ocwatersheds.com/NewportBay_ExecComm.aspx

The Newport Bay Watershed Executive Committee welcomes you to this meeting and encourages your participation. This agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. Except as otherwise provided by law, no action shall be taken on any items not appearing in the following agenda. However, items may be taken up in a different sequence. Any member of the public may ask the Executive Committee to be heard on the following items, as those items are called. Those persons addressing the Executive Committee are requested to give their names for the record.

ITEM # 1. WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Discussion Calendar

ITEM # 2. MINUTES OF THE JUNE 16, 2010 MEETING

Recommended Action:

Approve the minutes of the June 16, 2010 meeting.

ITEM # 3. SANTA ANA FUNDING AREA PROPOSITION 84 EFFORTS

Ms. Celeste Cantu, General Manager of SAWPA, will provide an update of the One Water One Watershed integrated regional water management planning.

Recommended Action: Receive and file.

ITEM # 4. SAN DIEGO CREEK FLOOD CONTROL CHANNEL DESIGN CAPACITY

Philip Jones, Manager of OC Flood Control Design for the County of Orange, will provide a presentation on the San Diego Creek Flood Control Channel Design Capacity, including ownerships within Lower San Diego Creek.

Recommended Action: Receive and file.

Consent Calendar, Items 5 through 9

The Staff Report contains written updates on projects. All matters are approved by one motion unless pulled by a Board Member for discussion for separate action. At this time, any member of the public may ask the Board to be heard on any item on the Consent Calendar.

ITEM # 5. REPORTS ON ITEMS REFERRED TO THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

The following items were deferred to the Management Committee at the June 16 meeting.

- A. Discuss expanding cost share partners to the Sediment Control Program Agreement.
- B. Obtain cost estimates for what a long term viability of In Channel Basin 1 study would cost and send letter to Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- C. Provide a management plan and a proposed budget for including the Borrego Canyon Wash and the Serrano Creek Stabilization Projects in Implementation Agreement D98-034.
- D. Prepare funding options and a payment plan for including the balance of the local cost share for the Upper Newport Bay Ecosystem Restoration Project in the sediment control implementation agreement.
- E. Conduct an assessment of long term funding options for future maintenance dredging of Upper Newport Bay.

ITEM # 6. SERRANO CREEK CORRIDOR STABILIZATION

ITEM # 7. BORREGO CANYON WASH FEASIBILITY STUDY

ITEM # 8. NITROGEN-SELENIUM MANAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

ITEM # 9. UPPER NEWPORT BAY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT UPDATE

ITEM #10. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

ITEM # 11. PUBLIC COMMENTS

At this time, members of the public may address the Executive Committee regarding the subject matter jurisdiction of the Executive Committee, provided that no action may be taken on the agenda items unless authorized by law. Comments shall be limited to five minutes per person and twenty minutes for all comments, unless otherwise set by the Chair subject to the approval of the Executive Committee.

ITEM # 12. ADJOURNMENT

Next meeting date:

October 20, 2010

1:30 - 3:30 PM

Irvine Ranch Water District, 15600 Sand Canyon Avenue, Irvine, CA

Attachment A

NEWPORT BAY WATERSHED EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Draft Meeting Minutes

Date and Location: June 16, 2010 – 1:35 p.m. – 3:50 p.m.
Boardroom of the Irvine Ranch Water District
15600 Sand Canyon Avenue
Irvine, CA 92618-3102

Participants: John M. W. Moorlach, Board of Supervisors and Committee Chair
Fred Ameri, Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board
Nancy Gardner, City of Newport Beach
Kathryn McCullough, City of Lake Forest
John Nielsen, City of Tustin
Joe Parco, City of Santa Ana
Christina Shea, City of Irvine
Peer Swan, Irvine Ranch Water District
Sat Tamaribuchi, The Irvine Company

Agenda Item 1 – Welcome & Introductions

The meeting was called to order by Vice Chair Swan at 1:35 p.m. followed by self-introductions.

Agenda Item 2 – Minutes from April 21, 2010 meeting

The minutes of the April 21, 2010, meeting were presented to the Executive Committee.

Motion: Approve minutes for April 21, 2010
First/Second: Ms. Gardner/Mr. Ameri
Outcome: Approved

Agenda Item 3 – Cost Accounting Methods for Implementation Agreements

Ms. Skorpanich reminded the Committee that there was a request at the previous meeting for more detailed information regarding financial accounting for the two cost share agreements included in the Executive Action Plan. She then referred the Committee to their handouts which contained budget history summaries for both cost share agreements and reviewed the information within them. Ms. Skorpanich then introduced Mary Fitzgerald from the County of Orange Auditor Controller's office to talk about some of the tracking mechanisms and record keeping methods that are utilized for these cost share agreements.

After a brief summary of accounting practices from Ms. Fitzgerald, Ms. Gardner asked who would be responsible for authorizing the time that is charged and who is making the decision of what is rightfully allocated to the program. Ms. Skorpanich answered that each program

supervisor is responsible for checking timecards and ensuring that the time being charged stays within the limits and in addition a monthly report is generated to monitor these costs and is analyzed by program staff and OC Watersheds management.

Motion: Receive and file Executive Action Plan
First/Second: Mr. Nielsen/ Ms. Gardner
Outcome: Approved

Agenda Item 4 – Sediment Control Program Overview

Ms. Skorpanich presented an overview of the sediment control program to the Committee. This overview covered the following areas:

- 1983 Sediment Control Master Plan
 - Foothill Basins
 - Agricultural best management practices
 - Construction best management practices
 - Channel Stabilization
 - In-channel basins
 - In-bay basins
 - Monitoring
- 1998 Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load requirements and compliance history

Following the presentation, Ms. Gardner suggested that the Committee consider the possibility of expanding the list of cost share partners to the sediment control program agreement. Mr. Moorlach asked how the sediment levels are measured. Ms. Skorpanich explained that the amount of water in the channel is measured continuously and samples of the water are collected at various times and analyzed for the concentration of sediment. Sediment discharges are calculated from this data as a loading for a time period (e.g. lbs/day or tons/year).

Action Item: Management Committee to discuss expanding cost share partners to the agreement.

Motion: Receive and File
First/Second: Mr. Moorlach/ Mr. Ameri
Outcome: Approved

Agenda Item 5 – Implementation Agreement D98-034: Newport Bay-San Diego Creek Watershed Sediment Control Monitoring and In-channel Basin Maintenance

Ms. Skorpanich presented recommended actions for the Committee's discussion and policy guidance.

Recommended Actions

1. Recommend to the sediment program partners that TMDL-mandated maintenance of In-channel Basins 2 and 3 in San Diego Creek be added to the scope of the agreement.

Motion: Approval for agreement scope to be expanded
First/Second: Ms. Shea/ Mr. Nielsen
Outcome: Approved

2. Recommend to the sediment program funding partners that a study be commissioned on the long term viability of In-Channel Basin 1.

Ms. Gardner asked what the cost would be for this study. Ms. Skorpanich, with input from County staff, replied that the cost is estimated somewhere between \$30,000 and \$50,000. Ms. Skorpanich also added that funds in the reserve fund for cleaning the Basin could potentially be used for this project since it would relate to future maintenance activities. The Committee discussed the monitoring that has occurred in Basin 1 over the past years and related issues and concluded that more information needs to be gathered to determine whether or not it is worth the effort to conduct this study.

Action Item: Management Committee to obtain cost estimates for what a long term viability study of In Channel Basin 1 would cost.

Motion: Recommend approval for the study only if the cost remains under \$50,000.
Fist/Second: Ms. Gardner/ Ms. McCullough
Outcome: Approved

3. Recommend to the sediment program partner that stabilization of Borrego Canyon Wash be added to the scope of the agreement.*

4. Recommend to the sediment program partners that the stabilization of Serrano Creek be added to the scope of the agreement.*

*Recommendations 3 and 4 were combined into a single discussion item.

Action Item: Management Committee to provide a management plan and a proposed budget to stabilize Borrego Canyon Wash and Serrano Creek

Motion: Move items 3 and 4 back to the Management Committee to obtain supporting documentation.
Fist/Second: Mr. Swan/ Ms. Gardner
Outcome: Approved

5. Recommend to the sediment program funding partners that the balance of the local matching funds share for the Upper Newport Bay Ecosystem Restoration Project be added to the scope of the agreement. Ms. Gardner asked about the disposition of the matching funds if they were not shared. Ms. Skorpanich replied that the County, as local sponsor for the Corps project, would be responsible for the payment. She added that the project meets the sediment TMDL goals of the cost share agreement.

Action Item: Management Committee to prepare a proposal for funding options/payment plan.

Motion: Continue the item to a future meeting.

Fist/Second: Ms. Shea/ Ms. Gardner

Outcome: Approved

6. Recommend the Management Committee conduct an assessment of long term funding options for future maintenance dredging of Upper Newport Bay.

Action item: Management Committee to conduct an assessment of long term funding options for Upper Newport Bay.

Motion: Recommend that the Management Committee conduct an assessment of long term funding options for Upper Newport Bay.

Fist/Second: Ms. Gardner/ Ms. McCullough

Outcome: Approved

Agenda Item 6 – San Diego Creek Flood Control Channel Programmatic Operations and Maintenance Manual

Vincent Gin from the OC Public Works Department, Manager of the Project Management Team and Regulatory Permits Section made a presentation on the San Diego Creek Flood Control Channel Operation and Maintenance Manual. He described the goal of the management approach was to balance four major purposes of the creek: providing habitat, flood control, sediment control, and recreation. The operation and maintenance activities are conducted in accordance with regulations from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Game, Regional Water Quality Control Board, and California Coastal Commission.

Mr. Swan and some of the Committee members expressed concern on the vegetation that is in the creek at the moment. It was clarified by Mr. Nadeem Majaj, County of Orange Assistant Director of OC Engineering, that the velocity flow is minimally impaired by the 40-foot wide vegetated corridor within the channel, a conclusion reached after some studies that were conducted previously. Ms. Gardner asked about the ownership of the section of the Creek below Basin 1.

Action Items: Orange County Flood Control District to present on channel design capacity at a future meeting. Management Committee to review section of Creek below Basin 1.

*Motion: Receive and file
First/Second: Mr. Swan/ Ms. Gardner
Outcome: Approved*

Agenda Items 7 to 9 – Consent Calendar

Ms. Gardner asked for an update regarding SAWPA's role in Prop 84 and wanted to know if there were any projects to be approved for the submittal process. She also asked how we are benefiting from having our own plan for the Central Watershed Management Area. Ms. Skorpanich replied that the Central Watershed Management Area Plan will be utilized to apply for grants and for Measure M2. Mr. Moorlach suggested that a report be presented by SAWPA during the next meeting.

Action Item: Invite Ms. Cantu from SAWPA to update the Executive Committee on the status of the OWOW efforts at the August meeting.

Agenda Item 10 – Executive Committee Comments

None

Agenda Item 11 – Public Comments

Mr. Majaj volunteered to have OC Flood Control provide a presentation for the next meeting if necessary and shared some facts on the San Diego Creek. He informed the Committee that the velocity levels in this creek are low due to the flatness of the channel slope. He also added that some of the vegetation in this creek was placed there under the direct orders by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and California Department of Fish and Game.

Mr. Phil Jones with the County of Orange, OC Public Works, Flood Control Design Manager, also shared comments on why the vegetation in the San Diego Creek is currently where it is. Mr. Jones provided historical information that was able to clarify many questions on why the vegetation is in the creek.

Mr. Larry McKenney with RBF Consulting noted that both SAWPA and the County of Orange had their plans in progress. Because of political considerations related to the water bond the State issued draft grant guidelines that recommending that grant applications be due in September 2010. Both the County of Orange and SAWPA have been moving very quickly to prepare their applications in the face of the State's unpredictable schedule. Mr. McKenney hoped that everyone understood the situation and not assume that SAWPA was not committing to their part of the agreement.

Agenda Item 12 – Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 3:50 p.m.

Attendees:

Blake Anderson, Newport Bay Naturalist and Friends
Dennis Baker, Newport Bay Naturalists and Friends
Patrick Bauer, City of Costa Mesa
Gene Estrada, City of Orange
Mary Fitzgerald, County of Orange
Rick Francis, Chief of Staff to Supervisor Moorlach
Vincent Gin, OC Public Works/OC Engineering
Irwin Haydock,
Nancy Heuler, Environmental Coalition
Phil Jones, County of Orange
John Kabashima, UC Cooperative Extension
Jack Keating, Newport Bay Naturalists & Friends
Dean Kirk, The Irvine Company
Rick Lefevre, County of Orange
Mike Loving, City of Irvine
Nadeem Majaj, County of Orange
Roger Mallett, Newport Bay Naturalists & Friends
Larry McKenney, RBF Consulting
Danielle Richards, County of Orange
Doug Shibberu, Santa Ana Regional Water Quality
Krista Sloniowski, Connective Issue
Doug Stein, City of Newport Beach
Mark Tettermer, Irvine Ranch Water District
Eric Tolles, City of Irvine
Alex Waite, City of Tustin
David Webb, City of Newport Beach
Marsha Westropp, Orange County Water District
Robert Woodings, City of Lake Forest

Committee Staff, County of Orange:

Mary Anne Skorpanich
Marilyn Thoms
Chris Crompton
Amanda Carr
Jamie Habben
Betty Ruano

**STAFF REPORTS
NEWPORT BAY WATERSHED EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
AUGUST 18, 2010**

ITEM #1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

ITEM #2. MINUTES OF THE JUNE 16, 2010 MEETING

Recommended Action: Approve the minutes of the June 16, 2010 meeting shown in Attachment A.

ITEM # 3. SANTA ANA FUNDING AREA PROPOSITION 84 EFFORTS

Ms. Celeste Cantu, General Manager of SAWPA, will provide an update of the One Water One Watershed (OWOW) integrated regional water management planning efforts. SAWPA's call for concept proposals deadline was June 30, 2010 and they received 297 completed applications from 64 agencies with a total project cost of \$3,558,764,373. Total funding requested by the applicants was \$1,735,825,400. Agencies in the Santa Ana Funding Area from North and Central Orange County submitted 118 of those projects. On Thursday, August 26th, SAWPA will be hosting a Steering Committee meeting to review and approve the OWOW Plan with a ranking of all OWOW projects submitted and consider a variety of project portfolios and select one to be vetted for Proposition 84, Chapter 2, Integrated Regional Watershed Management Round 1 funding. At SAWPA's September meeting the Steering Committee will select projects to be invited to prepare a full application for funding in Round 1.

Recommended Action: Receive and file.

ITEM # 4. SAN DIEGO CREEK FLOOD CONTROL CHANNEL DESIGN CAPACITY

Mr. Philip Jones, Manager of OC Flood Control Design for the County of Orange, will provide a presentation on the San Diego Creek Flood Control Channel Design Capacity, including ownerships within Lower San Diego Creek.

Recommended Action: Receive and file.

The Staff Report contains written updates on projects. All matters are approved by one motion unless pulled by a Committee Member for discussion for separate action. At this time, any member of the public may ask the Committee to be heard on any item on the Consent Calendar.

Recommended Action: Receive and file staff reports for Items 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

ITEM # 5. REPORTS ON ITEMS REFERRED TO THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

The following items related to the Sediment Management Program were referred to the Management Committee from the June 16 meeting.

- Obtain cost estimates for what a long term viability of In-Channel Basin 1 study would cost and send letter to Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- Discuss expanding cost share partners to the Sediment Control Program Agreement.
- Provide a management plan and a proposed budget for including the Borrego Canyon Wash and the Serrano Creek Stabilization Projects in Implementation Agreement D98-034.
- Prepare funding options and a payment plan for including the balance of the local cost share for the Upper Newport Bay Ecosystem Restoration Project in the sediment control implementation agreement.
- Conduct an assessment of long term funding options for future maintenance dredging of Upper Newport Bay.

The Management Committee has met once since the Executive Committee's direction, and it has begun work on the items listed above in addition to other business items. For the analysis of existing data on In-Channel Basin 1, an estimate of \$30,000 to \$50,000 in consulting services was obtained. After discussion of strategies, the consensus approach was to discuss the issues first with Regional Board staff to be followed by a formal letter of request for their consideration in modifying the TMDL. The use of consultant services would be dependent on the complexity of any analysis that the Regional Board might require for their consideration of the request. The Management Committee is continuing to work on this issue and the others identified above over the next months.

ITEM # 6. SERRANO CREEK CORRIDOR STABILIZATION

In April 2007 the County, OC Flood Control District, City of Lake Forest and Irvine Ranch Water District entered into a Memorandum of Understanding for initial planning efforts for the long term restoration of Serrano Creek. The specified action items included a Scope of Work and RFP for A-E services, Right-of-Entry Agreement template, and identification of future tasks necessary to implement the City's Serrano Creek Collaborative Use Plan. The County of Orange provided \$100,000 in Proposition 12 funding to initiate technical studies (see Agenda Item #4B, page 10).

Federal appropriation requests for FY 2011 were submitted by the County of Orange and the City of Irvine for \$1 million towards the \$2.9 million Serrano-Borrego Corridor Feasibility Study. A request for \$1 million was forwarded to the House of Representatives' budget committee on water resources. If funding is approved in the federal budget, the Corps of Engineers would perform the study.

In the meantime, work is progressing on how local cost-share partners might pursue a non-federal project. A three-reach project concept has been identified.

Reach I will include slope stabilization at selected areas of Serrano Creek from Trabuco Road upstream to the 241 Toll Road.

Reach II would be a multi-purpose basin located between the 241 Toll Road and Portola Parkway with the following components:

- constructed wetlands for urban runoff water quality treatment
- trail system for public recreation
- debris entrapment and flood detention
- outdoor education on wetlands
- groundwater recharge
- enhanced urban greening of existing public lands
- perpetuate land for open space and wetlands

A \$2.1 million grant was submitted to the Urban Greening Council for design and permitting tasks for Reach II.

A future reach has been identified that would include the City of Irvine and The Great Park in the creation of an additional multi-purpose basin downstream of Alton Parkway between the railroad tracks and the Interstate 5 and 405 Interchange.

August Update: County staff submitted a Project Concept Proposal for the Reach I slope stabilization of selected areas of Serrano Creek from Trabuco Road upstream to the 241 Toll Road on June 30, 2010 to the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority for consideration of the grant submittal that they will be preparing for Proposition 84. See Item #3 for additional information on Proposition 84. Staff was contacted by the Urban Greening Council regarding the grant submitted in June for Reach II and has been asked to supply additional information not requested in the original package.

ITEM # 7. BORREGO CANYON WASH FEASIBILITY STUDY

Eroding stream channels have been shown responsible for furnishing most of the sediment entering into Newport Bay, especially since San Diego Creek was channelized into Upper Newport Bay in 1965 (Trimble, 1997). Efforts to stabilize eroding channels began in the early 1980s under the San Diego Creek Comprehensive Stormwater Sedimentation Control Plan. While many areas have been addressed over the years, segments of Borrego Canyon Wash

continue to be unstable and remain a prolific source of sediment in the watershed. Channel erosion studies of Borrego Wash have documented the change over the period since urbanization began in the early 1990s and show that the destabilization of the channel can be related to major storm events as well as human activities. Borrego Canyon Wash's streambed has undergone severe degradation as well as widening which has resulted in the loss of adjacent land. This feasibility study develops an approach to reducing channel erosion through a fluvial modeling study that will be used to develop and recommend control measures for stream channel stabilization. The study uses bioengineered approaches to stabilization where feasible. The resulting stabilization plan includes a conceptual design(s) for where measures are most needed along the study reach. This project is funded by the State Water Resources Control Board through a \$200,000 funding contract.

Due to the State's budget crisis, funding for the study was initially suspended, then reactivated in October 2008. Through a competitive bid process, the County awarded the engineering firm of PACE, Inc. a subcontract to complete all technical work components. A stakeholder kickoff meeting was held on February 19, 2009 introducing the study and served to organize a technical workgroup that would participate in future development of alternatives and conceptual design(s). PACE conducted additional floodplain hydraulics and field mapping in order to eliminate data gaps discovered in their review of available hydrology and hydraulics reports for Borrego Canyon Wash. This additional mapping was necessary for PACE to complete the rest of the tasks associated with this contract. Technical workgroup meetings were held in October, November, and December 2009 to discuss and refine the stabilization alternatives and conceptual designs. At the December meeting, members provided final input to the recommended alternative and associated designs plans (30% level).

August Update

A draft engineering report by PACE was submitted to the Regional Board in late April 2010, fulfilling requirements of the state funding contract. The report will be made publicly available prior to the October Newport Bay Watershed Executive Committee. The County continues to work with Regional Board staff on identifying an agency to implement the stabilization plan. The County has submitted a concept proposal for Proposition 84 grant funding for an implementation project.

ITEM # 8. NITROGEN-SELENIUM MANAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

The implementation agreement for cost-sharing the compliance programs for nutrients, fecal coliform, and toxics expired on June 30, 2010. The cost share partners met the deadline of June 30, 2010, imposed by the Selenium Time Schedule Order for Compliance for developing a new agreement. The Time Schedule Order has a further deadline of 180 additional days for the parties to execute the agreement. To date, the agreement has been executed by the cities of Laguna Woods and Tustin.

ITEM # 9. UPPER NEWPORT BAY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT UPDATE

Upper Newport Bay is one of the last remaining coastal wetlands in Southern California that continues to play a significant role in providing critical habitat for a variety of migratory waterfowl, shorebirds, and endangered species of birds and plants. The Upper Newport Bay Ecological Restoration Project will ensure the long-term viability of this diverse salt marsh ecosystem.

This project will restore endangered species habitats and improve sediment-trapping basins that will comply with the requirement of the Sediment TMDL promulgated by the Regional Water Quality Control Board and at the same time provide a mixture of critical habitats required by the Resources Agencies. The U.S Army Corps of Engineers is providing 65% of the federal cost share. The County of Orange along with the Department of Fish and Game are the local sponsors. The State of California has allocated \$13 million for the project as part of the local cost share. The primary objectives of the project are to manage sedimentation within the Bay by increasing the capacity of sediment basins, restoring and enhancing estuarine habitats, and improving educational and recreational opportunities.

A construction contract for Phase I was awarded on September 26, 2005. The authorized project entails dredging access channels and two sediment basins; removal of a least tern island in Basin I and reconstruction of the island adjacent to Basin II; restore side channels around New, Middle and Shellmaker Islands; and, restore wetland habitat near Northstar Beach. Approximately 2.3 million cubic yards of material will be dredged, of which 2 million cubic yards will be placed at the LA-3 ocean disposal site. The Phase I work was completed in July, 2009.

August Staff Update:

The contractor will complete dredging in the Unit III basin the week of August 16, 2010. Clean up will continue for the next few months with the contractor's contract expiring October 31, 2010, with close-out expected 90 days thereafter.

ITEM #10. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

ITEM #11. PUBLIC COMMENTS

At this time, members of the public may address the Executive Committee regarding the subject matter jurisdiction of the Executive Committee, provided that no action may be taken on the agenda items unless authorized by law. Comments shall be limited to five minutes per person

and twenty minutes for all comments, unless otherwise set by the Chair subject to the approval of the Executive Committee.

ITEM #12. ADJOURNMENT

Next meeting date:

October 20, 2010

1:30 – 3:30 PM